G. R. S. 59

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1912-13
(Muzrai)

File No. 241-12

Serial Nos. 1 to 2.

Papers Filed.

Month April 1913.

SUBJECT.

Repairs to the Kundapur Sri Vyasaraya Swami Matt building at Tirthalli.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES
M.-file 276-06	Muz 452-22-2, 4-7

Gurappa.

G.R.S. 11] 1912.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 241-12

FILE HEADING.

Repairs to the Kundapur Sri Vyasarajaswami Matt building at Tirthal.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, ~~Bangalore~~ Shimoga D.

No. 117/Muz28 Dated 24/26 - 9 - 12 Received 27 9 12

Diary No. 591	Enclos. File Spare Copies. nil	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Submits a bill for Rs 169-10-11 due to one Subraya Sastri for having executed certain repairs to the building & also two estimates for Rs 267/- and Rs 305 for completing the repairs

NOTES AND ORDERS

file p. 2 & W

The previous history of the case is contained in the files (M.7.6-03 & M.7.276-06) ~~and~~ put up herewith and may be briefly stated as follows:-

In the year 1905, the Swamigalu represented to Govt. that the Matt building at

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12 S 11

Serial No.

Thurthalli was in a very dilapidated condition and prayed that he may be supplied with timber required for the repairs, free of cost. He was thereupon informed that no timber could be given gratis and that the required timber may be taken on payment of the reduced rates of Seigniorage fixed for ryots. The Swamigalu then requested that either the whole cost of repairs may be paid from the State grant or that the inam lands of the Matt at Urthalli may be temporarily taken under Govt management & the income therefrom utilised for repairs. As per orders of the Dewan a Vignapana Patrika (No 356 & 17-7-06) was then issued to the Swamigalu requiring him to have the Matt repaired within one year, on pain of the inam lands endowed to the Matt being resumed in case of default. As the Swamigalu subsequently asked for an extension of time, a further period of one year was granted in V. Patrika No. 607 & 25-6-07. ~~and another period of one year in~~

Copies of these V. Patrikas were also sent

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Serial No.

to the Dy Comr So the Dy Comr reported in April 1908 that the building was yet in disrepair and that no services were being rendered at the Brundavana, though the Matt Agent had been taking away the produce of the inam lands and solicited orders for the resumption of the inam lands.

flag Z

Vignapana Patrika No 5088 dt 18-5-1908 was then issued to the Swamigalu, calling upon him to show cause, within one month, why the lands should not be resumed by Govt and also informing him that ~~in the interim~~ the produce of the inam lands have been ordered to be kept in Amanat, in the interim

flag Z

A copy of this V.P. was sent to the Dy Comr with Memo No 5089 dt 18-5-1908 with a request that the produce of the lands should be kept in Amanat

The present reference of the Dy Comr is in connection with the last named communication The later history of the case is very clearly given in the Dy Comr's letter and in the Amildar's report of the

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

19/27th Aug 1912 It is seen therefrom that a sum of Rs 735-0-5 (realised by the sale of the produce of the inam lands ordered to be kept in amanat) is available in the Govt Savings Bank at the credit of the institu. The cost of the bill and the estimates has to be met from this amount

As sufficient money for repairs seems to have now accumulated, it is a point for orders whether the lands, the produce of which was ordered to be kept in amanat in Memo No 5089 dt 18-5-1908, may not be restored to the management of the Swamigalu the Dy Comr's letter is silent on the point

Subd for orders

Copy Z

Copd (139) 5-11-12.) The Estimate & the bill dated by the Dy Comr may be sanctioned, the question of the restoration of the lands being reserved for future consideration when Govt are approached in the matter by the Swamijalu or the Dy Comr.

Draft order subd.

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 241 of 1912

Serial No.

The Inamdar has made separate arrangements for the repairs of the mutt and according to the report submitted by the Subordinate Judge a lessee of the mutt. The latter has undertaken to repair the mutt and pay himself out of the 'gutta' due from him to the Mutt. Work to the extent of Rs 1083 has been done and he is willing to complete the repairs according to the specifications of the Consrt. Under the circumstances it seems to be inexpedient to interfere at the present stage. Subordinate Judge may be allowed a free hand to complete the repairs a mandatum being taken from him fixing the period within which the work should be completed and in the meanwhile he may be allowed to recoup himself from the 'gutta' amount. If he defaults, the Govt may then take up the work and complete it from the funds in their hands belonging to the Mutt. While the proceeds from certain lands of the Mutt have been attached, the Mutt suffering

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-9-12.

Serial No.

file 24

have not been taken under the Govt. management. The last communication to the Swamiyar dated 18th May 1908 was a notice to show cause why the lands should not be attached pending the repairs of the Mutt

Subd to Ch. Commr.

The lease seems to be for a rent of 250 Rs + some 170 Rs seems to be due as Govt revenue. If so, the difference is only 80 Rs and it would apparently take many years to furnish the repairs both the Sriphus. I cannot find the document according to which the lessee has undertaken repairs to the building. Is Subbaraya Sastri bound & likely to give a Muchalka accg to the draft put up? How do you make it out?

31/3/13

Vide W 757-11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Boring up to 2a 3

GPB—55,000—17-5-12.

C.R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 241 of 1912

Serial No.

A

Subraya Setty has hitherto paid a rayna dated 2-4-1907 (there is no other formal lease deed) in which a rent of Rs 330 is stipulated but which the Govt does not seem to have paid. The assessment of the taluk is not stated explicitly but appears to be about Rs 450 as Rs 118-4 (Map C paper under disposal)

7 | D

Subraya Setty has given a petition dated 2-4-1907 (there is no other formal lease deed) in which a rent of Rs 330 is stipulated but which the Govt does not seem to have paid. The assessment of the taluk is not stated explicitly but appears to be about Rs 450 as Rs 118-4 (Map C paper under disposal) a sum of Rs 736 being paid during the first years.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 241 of 1912

Serial No.

If there shd be any traffic-
cally disappearance the D.C. will
write agn

Jo

2 The D.C. Shimoga

No 1639 dy 12 4 1913

States, with Certain observations that the govt deem it inexpedient to interfere in the matter at this stage & that a muchalika may be taken from Subrayaswamy to complete the repairs within a definite period allowing him to recoup himself from the annual rent payable to the Mahd

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-8-12.

DIARY No. 59

1912

19 19

SECTION

FILE No. 2 II 1

Tahl's No.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To The Secretary.
to the Government of Mysore
Gen & Rev Depts.
Bangalore

Dated 24th September 1912

Despatched 26th

Received

General No

No.

Enclosure No. 2 & IV. file

Submits estimates
for the reconstruction of —
Baindavanam of Kundapur
Sri Vyasaraya mutt at Tirthahalli
for sanction &
orders

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,
Dated Shimoga, 25th September

No. [illegible]

From

K. Srinivasa Rao, Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga.

To

K. R. Swaminarasimhan Esq., M.A.
~~The~~ Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
(Puzrai Department),
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your office memo No. [illegible] dated 18-8-1 re the management of the lands endowed to Kundapur Sri Vyasaraja Matt at Thirthalli, I have the honor to state that in accordance with a rayasa of the Swami of the Matt in June 1908 to Subraya Sastri, a tenant of the Inam lands of the Matt in Yedehalli vill (ferist No. 99), he undertook the work of reconstructing the templ Work of the value of Rs. 1083-2-5 has been done by him till now at his own cost. The Amildar who has inspected the work reports that it is satisfactory.

2. From the receipts produced by Subraya Sastri before th Taluk Amildar (Ferist Nos. 100 I, VI.), it is seen that he has

paid

paid Rs. 726-3-8 towards the Jodi, local cess, &c, due on the temple lands to Government. His total claim is therefore 1849-10-11.

5. The annual rent due from sucrat ferist being . 550 annum (ferist No. 98), the total amount due for him from 1907-1908 to 1911-1912 is . 1750. His net claim is therefore Rs. 169-10-11, for which a bill is submitted for sanction.

4. Two estimates, one for . 907 for completing the roof of the main building, and another for . 32 for the reconstruction of the Brindavanam in the Mutt are herewith submitted for favor of sanction. The total cost to be defrayed viz. 731-10-11 may be met out of Rs.755-0-5 at the credit of the institution in the Government Savings Bank. (741-10-11)

5. The vernacular papers enclosed with the Taluk Amildar's ittilla No. 176 dated 19/27-8-191 accompany for reference and obliging return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 241-1/2

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 591

No. 1639 Enc. file

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19* .

To

The Dy Comr, Shimoga Dt

Date of issue 12-4-1913

Signed By. G. Aravamudhachar

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 117/28 & 25-9-12 submitting for sanction a bill for Rs 169-10-11 being the ~~net~~ amount due to one Subraya Sastri for ~~his having executed~~ executing certain repairs to the Kundapur Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt at Sirthalli and also two

over.

SUBJECT.

estimates amounting to Rs 572/-

for ~~completed~~ Completing the work ~~repairs~~, I am directed to ~~inform you~~ Note that, as Subrayasastri ~~has~~ undertook the work in accordance with the 'rayasa' issued to him by the Swamigalu ~~executed the major portion of the repairs~~ reported to be and is ~~further~~ willing to complete the ~~same~~ work according to the specifications of DE amount ~~as per estimates sent by him~~, Govt deem it inexpedient to interfere in the matter at this stage. He may ~~therefore~~ be allowed to complete the repairs ~~in~~ accordance with the estimates, under the supervision of the ~~Architect~~ and to recoup himself ~~the amount spent by him for the purpose~~ from annual rent payable to the Matt according ~~as per~~ 'rayasa of the

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—20-9-10

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

2) a mandatory order be issued to the ~~Asst~~ Collector from Subdivisional Magistrate fixing a time limit for his completion of the repairs on pain of his being compelled to pay Govt the entire amount due from him. He must write effect from the current year. On his committing a default the Collector may realise the penalty and proceed to complete the work with the powers of the Magistrate.

The file of revenue papers received with the letter under reference is returned herewith.

I have

Ex

24.3.13

for Secy

31/3/13

8/4/13

Collector
11/4/13